

# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463

September 8, 2008

Carol D. Sides, Treasurer Christopher L. Hackett Chris Hackett for Congress 23 Dallas Shopping Center Dallas, PA 18612

RE: MUR 5994

Chris Hackett for Congress and Carol D. Sides, in her official capacity as treasurer Chris Hackett

Dear Ms. Sides:

On April 16, 2008, the Federal Election Commission notified Chris Hackett for Congress ("Committee") and you, in your capacity as treasurer, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint was forwarded to you at that time. In addition, the Commission received additional information pertaining to the allegations which was mailed to you on April 23, 2008.

On June 26, 2008, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in *Davis v. FEC*, 128 S. Ct. 2759 (2008), and found Sections 319(a) and 319(b) of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act for 2002 – the so-called "Millionaires' Amendment" – unconstitutional because they violated the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Therefore, the Commission voted to dismiss this matter and close the file on July 29, 2008. The Factual and Legal Analyses explaining the Commission's decision are enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003).

Carol D. Sides, Treasurer Christopher L. Hackett MUR 5994 Page 2

If you have any questions, please contact Dawn M. Odrowski, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analyses

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

2

1

3

4 5

Respondents: Chris Hackett for Congress and Carol Sides,

MUR: 5994

in her official capacity as treasurer

6 7 8

#### I. INTRODUCTION

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by Dee Ann Watts, treasurer for Meuser for Congress. The complaint alleges that Chris Hackett for Congress failed to send a complete copy of the initial 24-Hour Notice of Expenditure from Candidate's Personal Funds (FEC Form 10) to his opponent in the primary, Meuser for Congress, within 24 hours of making expenditures from the Candidate's personal funds that exceeded the threshold amount as specified in 11 C.F.R. § 400.21(b). Chris Hackett for Congress disputes these allegations, providing e-mail documentation showing that they sent a completed form, not only to the Commission, but also to Meuser for Congress and the Republican National Committee, within 24 hours of making the expenditures.

20 21

19

#### II. DISCUSSION

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

On Sunday, March 16, 2008, Chris Hackett for Congress reported expenditures of the candidate's personal funds in the amounts of \$90,000 and \$250,000. These expenditures, along with Chris Hackett's previous personal funds expenditures of \$252,300, pushed the amount over the statutory threshold limit. Further expenditures in the amounts of \$150,000 and \$50,000 were accurately and timely reported to all the parties involved. As required by 2 U.S.C. § 441a-1(b), the Chris Hackett campaign filled out FEC Form 10 and successfully sent it to the Commission. Eight

i	minutes later they attempted to send the same document, via e-mail, to both the
2	opposition candidate in the primary, Dan Meuser, and the Republican Party.
3	However, this transmission was, apparently, not successful. It arrived in the inbox of
4	Dee Ann Watts, treasurer of the Meuser committee, blank and devoid of information.
5	On June 26, 2008, the Supreme Court ruled that the Millionaires' Amendment,
6	provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, that governed
7	certain self-financed candidacies for Congress, is unconstitutional. Davis v. FEC,
8	128 S. Ct. 2759 (2008). In light of Davis, and since there are no other allegations
9	contained in the complaint, we dismiss the complaint and close the file.

## **FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

Respondents: Chris Hackett

MUR: 5994

## I. <u>Introduction</u>

This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election

Commission by Dee Ann Watts, treasurer for Meuser for Congress. The complaint alleges that Chris Hackett for Congress failed to send a complete copy of the initial 24-Hour Notice of Expenditure from Candidate's Personal Funds (FEC Form 10) to his opponent in the primary, Meuser for Congress, within 24 hours of making expenditures from the Candidate's personal funds that exceeded the threshold amount as specified in 11 C.F.R. § 400.21(b). Chris Hackett for Congress disputes these allegations, providing e-mail documentation showing that they sent a completed form, not only to the Commission, but also to Meuser for Congress and the Republican National Committee, within 24 hours of making the expenditures.

## II. DISCUSSION

On Sunday, March 16, 2008, Chris Hackett for Congress reported expenditures of the candidate's personal funds in the amounts of \$90,000 and \$250,000. These expenditures, along with Chris Hackett's previous personal funds expenditures of \$252,300, pushed the amount over the statutory threshold limit. Further expenditures in the amounts of \$150,000 and \$50,000 were accurately and timely reported to all the parties involved. As required by 2 U.S.C. § 441a-1(b), the Chris Hackett campaign filled out FEC Form 10 and successfully sent it to the Commission. Eight

1	minutes later they attempted to send the same document, via e-mail, to both the
2	opposition candidate in the primary, Dan Meuser, and the Republican Party.
3	However, this transmission was, apparently, not successful. It arrived in the inbox of
4	Dee Ann Watts, treasurer of the Meuser committee, blank and devoid of information.
5	On June 26, 2008, the Supreme Court ruled that the Millionaires' Amendment,
5	provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, that governed
7	certain self-financed candidacies for Congress, is unconstitutional. Davis v. FEC,
8	128 S. Ct. 2759 (2008). In light of Davis, and since there are no other allegations
9	contained in the complaint, we dismiss the complaint and close the file.